BEFORE THE WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION IN AND FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

Arizona Mining Reform Coalition, Concerned Citizens and Retired Miners Coalition; Save Tonto National Forest,

Appellants,

Vs.,

State of Arizona, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

Respondent.

Resolution Copper Mining, LLC,

Intervenor.

San Carlos Apache Tribe,

Appellant,

Vs.,

State of Arizona, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

Respondent.

Resolution Copper Mining, LLC

Intervenor.

CASE NO. 17-001

WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD ORDER

The Board having received and considered the Joint Statements filed by the parties pursuant to the Board's Order filed November 08, 2018,

IT IS ORDERED affirming the previous order remanding this matter to the ADEQ for the purpose of conducting a new source analysis as required by 40 C.F.R. Section 122.29(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that ADEQ shall begin work on the new source analysis as soon as practicable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, when the analysis is complete, ADEQ shall submit the written analysis and necessary supporting information to the Board.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in conducting the analysis, the ADEQ is permitted to disregard the following Findings of Fact reflected in the Administrative Law Judge's Decision filed October 15, 2018: Nos. 98 through 120, inclusive.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in conducting the analysis, the ADEQ is permitted to disregard the following Conclusions of Law reflected in the Decision identified above: Nos. 19 through 34, inclusive, and No. 68.

Other Issues

As to all other issues raised in the Notices of Appeal, Appellants did not establish that the Department's actions were arbitrary, unreasonable, unlawful, or based upon technical judgment that is clearly invalid.

Notice of Rehearing and Appeal Rights

Any party may move for a rehearing or review of this Order. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09 and A.A.C. R2-17-126, the motions must be filed with the Board within thirty-five (35) days from the date of mailing if the Order was served via certified mail. The petition must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting the rehearing or review. The filing of a petition for rehearing or review is not required to preserve any rights of appeal to Superior Court that the party may wish to pursue.

DATED this 19th day of November, 2018.

WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD

Fred E. Brinker

A copy of the foregoing was mailed via U.S. Mail this 19th day of November, 2018

WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD: Fred E. Brinker Keith Bowers

James T. Skardon Jeffrey D. Cantrell Assistant Attorneys General 1275 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 Environmental@azag.gov

Council for Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Water Quality Division Surface Water Section 1110 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Howard M. Shanker The Shanker law Firm 700 E. Baseline Rd., Bldg. B Tempe, AZ 85283 howard@shankerlaw.net

Attorney for Roger Featherstone, Director, Arizona Mining Reform Coalition; Roy Chavez, Concerned Citizen and Retired Miners Coalition; and John Krieg, Save Tonto National Forest

Alexander B. Ritchie Attorney General Post Office Box 40 San Carlos, AZ 85550 Attorney for San Carlos Apache Tribe

James N. Saul
Earthrise Law Center at Lewis & Clark Law School
10015 SW Terwilliger Blvd.
Portland, OR 97219

Attorney for Appellants Arizona Mining Reform Coalition, Concerned Citizens and Retired Miners Coalition, Save Tonto National Forest

Christopher D. Thomas
Matthew L Rojas
Katherine E. May
Perkins Coie LLP
2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
Phoenix, AZ 85012
Attorneys for Resolution Copper Mining, LLC

A copy of the foregoing was mailed via inter-agency mail This 19th day of November, 2018

Dave Cole, Assistant Attorney General Solicitor General's Office

2005 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for the Water Quality Appeals Board

By: Connie Castillo

Clerk, Water Quality Appeals Board